

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandria, Virgiria 22313-1450 www.uspio.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/529,128	06/30/2000	GRAHAM FRANCOIS DUIRS	42341-350041	2910
JOHN S. PRA	7590 04/21/2008 TT FSO	EXAMINER		
KILPATRICK	STOCKTON, LLP	GILBERT, ANDREW M		
ATLANTA, G	FREE STREET A 30309		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3767	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/21/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary

Application No.

O9/529,128

DUIRS, GRAHAM FRANCOIS

Examiner

ANDREW M. GILBERT

3767

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):	ΑII	participants	(applicant.	applicant's	representative.	PTO	personnel)):
--	-----	--------------	-------------	-------------	-----------------	-----	------------	----

(1) <u>ANDREW M. GILBERT.</u>
(2) <u>Zara Doddridge.</u>

Date of Interview: <u>15 April 2008</u>.

Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference c] ☐ Personal (copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes [Yes, brief description: ____.].

Claim(s) discussed: <u>1</u>.

Identification of prior art discussed: *Hiller et al* (4369783): .

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The Examiner discussed the prior art of record and the rejection made in the Non-Final Rejection mailed on 12/10/2007. The Applicants and Examiner discussed possible claim amendments to distinguish the Applicant's invention over the prior art of record. The Applicant's will submit a full response with claim amendments and the Examiner will conduct a new search and consideration.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRITY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Andrew M Gilbert/ /Kevin C. Sirmons/

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Examiner's signature, if required

Attachment to a signed Office action.
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office